





https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5410.3.10

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1A26544E-7339-494B-A58A-B559B2B69C99

# Revision of the cichlid fish genus *Gnathochromis* (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from Lake Tanganyika with the description of a new genus *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.

ANJA HAEFELI<sup>1,4\*</sup>, FREDERIC D.B. SCHEDEL<sup>1,2</sup>, FABRIZIA RONCO<sup>3</sup>, ADRIAN INDERMAUR<sup>1,5</sup> & WALTER SALZBURGER<sup>1,6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zoological Institute, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Biology, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany.

strederic.schedel@unibas.ch; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5880-3709

<sup>3</sup>Natural History Museum Oslo, University of Oslo, Norway.

■ fabrizia.ronco@unibas.ch; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1583-8108

<sup>4</sup> anja.haefeli@unibas.ch; <sup>6</sup> https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6206-1532

<sup>5</sup> 🖬 a.indermaur@unibas.ch; 🕲 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3048-8911

<sup>6</sup> walter.salzburger@unibas.ch; <sup>6</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9988-1674

\*Corresponding authors

#### Abstract

The cichlid species flock from Lake Tanganyika is a well-studied system for evolutionary biology research because its species assemblage shows a high degree of endemism and is a product of adaptive radiation. While our understanding of the evolutionary history of Lake Tanganyika cichlids has advanced tremendously over the past decades, their taxonomy received considerably less attention, despite numerous taxonomic misplacements (e.g., polyphyletic genera and species) that have been revealed by phylogenetic studies. One prominent example of a polyphyletic genus is *Gnathochromis*, which includes two distantly related species, belonging to two different tribes. To resolve this issue, here we present a taxonomic revision based on an extensive morphological dataset obtained from a comprehensive taxon sampling including 587 specimens from 63 taxa. We introduce a new monotypic genus, *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. for '*Gnathochromis*' pfefferi, a member of the tribe Tropheini, thereby separating it from the type species of *Gnathochromis*, *G. permaxillaris*. As a result, the genus *Gnathochromis*, which belongs to the tribe Limnochromini, is rendered monophyletic. Further, we provide an additional character to distinguish the recently described genus *Shuja*, which also belongs to the Tropheini, from its former mostly riverine congeners.

Key words: X-ray imaging, landmarks, morphology, cichlid taxonomy

#### Introduction

Lake Tanganyika—the largest of the East African Rift Lakes by water volume—harbours an outstanding diversity of cichlid fishes, not only in terms of species numbers but also in terms of their phenotypic diversity (Fryer & Iles 1972; Ronco *et al.* 2021; Salzburger *et al.* 2014). The number of cichlid species was recently estimated to be at least 241, of which 208 are nominally described and all but two are endemic to the lake and immediately proximate rivers (Ronco *et al.* 2020). This striking diversity is the product of a massive adaptive radiation, making the cichlid assemblage of Lake Tanganyika a prominent system for various aspects of evolutionary biology and speciation research (Berner & Salzburger 2015; Koblmüller *et al.* 2017; Salzburger *et al.* 2014; Santos *et al.* 2023; Van Steenberge *et al.* 2015; Winkelmann *et al.* 2014). Over the past two decades, numerous phylogenetic and phylogenomic studies have continuously improved our understanding of the evolutionary history of the cichlid adaptive radiation in Lake Tanganyika (Duftner *et al.* 2005; Irisarri *et al.* 2018; Muschick *et al.* 2012; Nishida 1997; Ronco *et al.* 2021; Salzburger *et al.* 2002; Schedel *et al.* 2019; Sturmbauer *et al.* 2003). However, despite a robust phylogenetic placement of almost all taxa, the taxonomic status of several species and genera remains unresolved (Ronco *et al.* 2020). For example, many species are still awaiting formal description, and there are also a handful of known instances of generic misplacement.

434 Accepted by R. Schmidt: 9 Nov. 2023; published: 14 Feb. 2024

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-N.C. 4.0 International https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

A well-documented case of such a generic misplacement is the focal species of this study, '*Gnathochromis' pfefferi* (Boulenger 1898). Since the introduction of the genus *Gnathochromis* Poll (1981), it included only its type species *Gnathochromis permaxillaris* (David 1936) and '*Gnathochromis' pfefferi*. However, while '*Gnathochromis' pfefferi* belongs to the endemic tribe Tropheini which is phylogenetically nested within the Haplochromini (Ronco *et al.* 2020; Salzburger *et al.* 2005), *G. permaxillaris* has repeatedly been demonstrated to be a member of the Limnochromini, a tribe that is morphologically (Takahashi 2003) and genetically clearly distinct from the Tropheini (Nishida 1997; Ronco *et al.* 2021; Salzburger *et al.* 2002; see Figure 1).

'Gnathochromis' pfefferi was first described as Paratilapia pfefferi Boulenger, 1898 and was reallocated to Limnochromis (Regan 1920) by Regan (1920) in his endeavour to improve the generic definitions of the cichlid fauna from Lake Tanganyika. He based his taxonomic revision and description of the genus Limnochromis on osteological traits, reporting mainly the characteristics of several bony structures from the neurocranium, alongside some features related to scales and teeth. He also introduced a classification of cichlids with respect to the structure of their apophysis at the base of the skull that supports the upper pharyngeal jaw bones, and divided the African cichlids into two groups, Tilapia-type and Haplochromis-type species. Limnochromis was allocated to the Tilapia-type. The type names supposedly stem from the genera which acted as a reference for this grouping. Later, Poll (1974) moved 'G.' pfefferi to Haplochromis (Hilgendorf 1888) based on its dentition not corresponding with that of Limnochromis. About a decade later, however, when erecting the genus Gnathochromis, Poll (1981) stated—without any further explanation—that 'G. 'pfefferi could not be included into Haplochromis. Most probably, he referred to Greenwood (1978), who extended Regan's original classification of cichlids with respect to their pharyngeal apophysis structure. While Greenwood (1978) did not undertake any taxonomic revisions, he came to the same conclusion as Regan (1920) that 'G.' pfefferi did not correspond with the *Haplochromis*-type apophysis. Poll (1974) did not consider the pharyngeal apophysis structure of 'G. 'pfefferi when moving it to Haplochromis, but apparently accepted Greenwood's view and erected the new genus Gnathochromis based on body proportions, dentition, coloration, and their commonality of the protractile mouth, which is more pronounced in G. permaxillaris than in 'G. 'pfefferi. When Poll (1986) subsequently introduced 12 tribes for all cichlid species known at that time from Lake Tanganyika, he grouped all species formally assigned to the genus Limnochromis, including 'G. 'pfefferi and G. permaxillaris, into the tribe Limnochromini.

Already from the first molecular phylogenetic hypothesis based on the mitochondrial ND2 gene that included 'G.' *pfefferi* it was evident that 'G.' *pfefferi* is nested within Tropheini and not related to the Limnochromini (Kocher *et al.* 1995). This was further supported by an analysis of squamation characters, in which 'G.' *pfefferi* clustered with other Tropheini representatives instead of the Limnochromini (Lippitsch 1998). Lippitsch (1998) stated that 'G.' *pfefferi* does not show any of the lepidological synapomorphies that characterize the Limnochromini/Ectodini assemblage. However, since 'G.' *pfefferi* could not be assigned to any of the then established genera of Tropheini, Lippitsch (1998) did not undertake any taxonomic revisions. Additional phylogenetic studies based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) consistently placed 'G.' *pfefferi* alongside with other Tropheini species while firmly placing G. *permaxillaris* into Limnochromini (Duftner *et al.* 2005; Muschick *et al.* 2012; Nishida 1997; Salzburger *et al.* 2002; Schedel *et al.* 2019; Sturmbauer *et al.* 2003). More recent phylogenetic hypotheses based on nuclear markers (Irisarri *et al.* 2018; Muschick *et al.* 2012) as well as the most recent extensive phylogeny based on whole-genome sequence data (Ronco *et al.* 2021) corroborated these findings. Hence, there is overwhelming and undisputed molecular evidence that 'G.' *pfefferi* is a member of the Tropheini, and G. *permaxillaris* belongs to the Limnochromini, two cichlid tribes that diverged more than 7 million years ago (Ronco *et al.* 2021).

The tribal misplacement of 'G. 'pfefferi was recognized by Takahashi (2003) and he therefore reallocated it to the Tropheini based on the previously conducted mDNA studies (Nishida 1997; Salzburger et al. 2002; Sturmbauer et al. 2003) as well as his own cladistic analysis. Alongside 'G. 'pfefferi, also its sister species Shuja horei (Genner et al. 2022) was reallocated from the Haplochromini to the Tropheini by (Takahashi 2003). Note that Shuja horei underwent a generic revision only recently and that the genus to which it was previously allocated to (*Ctenochromis*) used to be polyphyletic with several species from different drainages and phylogenetic lineages associated to it (including the Lake Tanganyika Cyphotilapiini; Genner et al. 2022).

In this study, we introduce the new genus, *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. for '*G*.'*pfefferi* based on the examination of all Tropheini and Limnochromini species described to date. By also including specimens from the Cyphotilapiini in our analyses, alongside species that are (or have long been) associated with the genus *Ctenochromis*, we could identify an additional diagnostic trait—the total number of gill rakers (TGR)—to differentiate *Shuja* from *Ctenochromis* and the species allocated to it prior to the recent revision of this genus.



FIGURE 1. Study system. A Map of Africa and Lake Tanganyika (Ronco *et al.* 2021) with sampling sites of *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. *pfefferi* specimens examined in this study indicated with a black dot. The grey dot with a black lining displays the type locality of the species. B Phylogenetic relationships of the cichlid tribes in Lake Tanganyika plus the Haplochromini (topology based on Ronco *et al.* 2021 and Schedel 2020). C Species-tree of the Tropheini (based on Ronco *et al.* 2021). Four clades of species have been identified and given a provisional name (indicated on the right). The genus *Petrochromis* is split into three groups, the true *Petrochromis* comprising the genus type species *Petrochromis polyodon*, the other *Petrochromis* species together with *Interochromis* except for *Petrochromis* famula, which stands for its own. D Representative specimen of *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. *pfefferi* from Kigoma, Tanzania. Picture taken by AI. E Representative specimen of *Gnathochromis permaxillaris*, picture taken by Dr. Zuzana Musilová.



AFL	anal fin length
DFL	dorsal fin length
FDS	first dorsal spine length
LDS	last dorsal spine length
LAS	last anal spine length
AVL	abdominal spine length
CVL	caudal spine length
PDD	pre-dorsal distance
HL	head length
PPecD	pre-dorsal distance
PAD	pre-anal distance
PPeID	pre-pelvic distance
LJL	lower jaw length
CPL	caudal peduncle length
CPL	caudal peduncle length
CPL PMA	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length
CPL PMA PMH	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height
CPL PMA PMH SnL	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height snout length
CPL PMA PMH SnL PML	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height snout length premaxilla length
CPL PMA PMH SnL PML ED	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height snout length premaxilla length eye diameter
CPL PMA PMH SnL PML ED BD	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height snout length premaxilla length eye diameter body depth
CPL PMA PMH SnL PML ED BD CPD	caudal peduncle length premaxilla length premaxilla height snout length premaxilla length eye diameter body depth caudal peduncle length



FIGURE 2: X-ray image of a *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. *pfefferi* specimen with the 28 landmarks and their derived measurements used in this study.

пістицей п'отп цпе глартосптот		I. Denini	11				PMH in % of	LJL in %	cu per species.			
Species	TGR	SHL	SULL	SLDF	SLDSULL	PercR	HL	of SL	PMH in % of SL	PML in % of HL	SL in cm	-
Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi	15 - 18	29 - 31	20 - 23	5 - 6	3	13 - 15	46.37 - 50.6	13.15 - 15.48	56.03 - 61.86	30.17 - 36.08	7.41 - 11.75	10
Gnathochromis permaxillaris	24 - 27	33 - 34	25 - 30	4 - 5	2 - 3	15 - 16	58.29 - 63.29	15.6 - 17.29	51.09 - 54.84	41.36 - 47.79	10.86 - 13.02	10
Shuja horei	17 - 19	27 - 30	20 - 23	5 - 7	2	14 - 15	30.5 - 37.21	11.78 - 15.78	50.7 - 57.47	23.06 - 36.05	5.49 - 10.37	10
Interochromis loocki	13 - 16	29 - 30	23 - 25	5 - 6	2 - 3	15 - 16	20.61 - 25.62	7.08 - 9.81	56.85 - 61.37	22.91 - 32.28	6.38 - 9.79	10
Limnotilapia dardennii	16 - 17	32 - 34	25 - 27	5 - 7	2 - 3	15 - 16	26.34 - 29.26	7.5 - 8.9	57.06 - 59.46	21.25 - 27.06	6.97 - 18.22	6
Lobochilotes labiatus	22 - 27	30 - 33	22 - 25	7 - 9	3	16 - 17	36.89 - 49.31	9.4 - 13.37	54.29 - 59.51	23.1 - 33.18	7 - 13.76	6
Petrochromis ephippium	13 - 16	31 - 33	24 - 25	7	3	15 - 16	22.8 - 24.64	5.28 - 6.92	59.53 - 62.51	28.68 - 35.18	7.27 - 12.49	6
Petrochromis famula	14 - 19	29 - 33	22 - 24	4 - 7	3	14 - 16	16.06 - 24.07	6.26 - 8.97	59.39 - 63.55	32.4 - 37.41	6.65 - 13.19	10
Petrochromis fasciolatus	16 - 18	30 - 32	23 - 25	5 - 6	3	15 - 16	16.84 - 20.32	8.11 - 10.1	59.67 - 63.46	30.68 - 38.27	6.85 - 13.99	10
Petrochromis horii	14 - 17	30 - 34	23 - 25	7 - 9	3 - 4	15 - 16	17.11 - 19.6	6.14 - 7.7	59.53 - 63.03	27.28 - 35.45	7.24 - 9.1	10
Petrochromis macrognathus	15 - 17	31 - 34	23 - 26	5 - 8	3 - 4	16 - 17	23.85 - 28.05	5.94 - 7.21	57.98 - 61.04	30.32 - 36.19	10.16 - 16.23	10
Petrochromis orthognathus	16 - 20	29 - 31	22 - 23	5 - 7	2 - 3	14 - 16	19.65 - 25.43	6.28 - 8.17	60.97 - 63.35	26.05 - 32.46	7.24 - 10.17	10
Petrochromis polyodon	14 - 18	28 - 32	22 - 24	5 - 7	2 - 3	15 - 17	22.21 - 26.2	5.75 - 7.97	55.79 - 59.26	31.22 - 40.68	6.55 - 13.78	6
Petrochromis sp. "giant"	16 - 18	33 - 35	24	7 - 8	3 - 4	16 - 17	23.48 - 24.16	5.66 - 6.55	60.32 - 61.77	34.23 - 36.16	15.95 - 20.32	б
Petrochromis sp. "kazumbae"	13 - 17	31 - 33	21 - 25	5 - 8	3	15 - 16	20.76 - 24.24	5.27 - 7.58	58.82 - 60.57	28.48 - 38.93	8.16 - 15.19	10
Petrochromis sp. "kipili brown"	14 - 17	31 - 35	24 - 27	7 - 11	3 - 4	15 - 16	18.16 - 20.83	5.73 - 6.9	61.48 - 65.04	30.89 - 34.74	9.81 - 18.12	10
<i>Petrochromis</i> sp. "macrognathus rainbow"	13 - 19	32 - 35	23 - 26	6 - 8	ς.	15 - 16	21.42 - 26.16	5.78 - 8.25	59.35 - 62.08	30.27 - 36.64	13.29 - 17.5	10
Petrochromis sp. "moshi yellow"	12 - 16	31 - 34	21 - 25	6 - 8	. რ	14 - 16	20.59 - 23.9	5.93 - 6.92	58.89 - 62.9	34.69 - 38.3	11.49 - 15.39	10
Petrochromis sp. "orthognathus ikola"	17 - 20	29 - 31	21 - 24	5 - 7	2 - 3	15 - 16	20.01 - 25.28	6.31 - 7.67	59.59 - 63.43	23.99 - 31.75	8.93 - 13.35	10
<i>Petrochromis</i> sp. "polyodon texas"	15 - 17	31 - 34	20 - 27	5 - 8	m	15 - 17	21.39 - 26.43	5.4 - 6.4	58.87 - 61.61	34.47 - 38.27	12.87 - 21.8	10
Petrochromis sp. "red"	12 - 16	30 - 34	23 - 25	6 - 7	2 - 3	15 - 16	12.99 - 19.7	6.29 - 8.33	59.43 - 63.75	35.96 - 40.14	10.33 - 16.83	10
Petrochromis trewavasae	13 - 18	31 - 32	23 - 25	6 - 9	3	15 - 16	18.66 - 23.6	5.68 - 9.95	60.44 - 63.52	34.3 - 40.04	9.21 - 14.06	10
Pseudosimochromis babaulti	9 - 13	27 - 30	21 - 24	4 - 5	2	15 - 16	22.82 - 26.03	7.05 - 8.63	58.53 - 61.68	18.79 - 22.74	4.95 - 6.45	10
Pseudosimochromis babaulti (South)	9 - 14	29 - 30	21 - 24	4 - 6	5	16	22.58 - 28.43	6.22 - 7.7	57.58 - 62.87	20.88 - 26.85	5.55 - 7.94	10
Pseudosimochromis curvifrons	14 - 17	29 - 31	21 - 25	4 - 6	2 - 3	14 - 17	33.34 - 36.33	5.86 - 7.53	63.56 - 68.77	15.31 - 18	7.12 - 9.45	10
										Cont	tinued on the next	oage

TABLE 1. (Continued)												
Species	TGR	SHL	SULL	SLDF	SLDSULL	PercR	PMH in % of HL	LJL in % of SL	PMH in % of SL	PML in % of HL	SL in cm n	
Pseudosimochromis marginatus	12 - 15	28 - 30	22 - 24	4 - 5	2	16 - 17	21.34 - 24.97	6.35 - 8.99	57.53 - 61.2	18.7 - 25.67	5.24 - 7.68	10
Pseudosimochromis marginatus (North)	11 - 15	28 - 30	21 - 23	4 - 5	2	16	22.04 - 25.39	6.77 - 9.12	58.01 - 64.68	19.07 - 22.17	5.44 - 8.55	10
Simochromis diagramma	13 - 18	29 - 32	22 - 25	5 - 6	2 - 3	16 - 17	25.08 - 29.61	7.02 - 8.51	59.15 - 64.25	18.32 - 28.13	6.42 - 11.93	10
Tropheus brichardi	14 - 17	28 - 30	22 - 23	5 - 6	1 - 2	16	25.95 - 31.78	6.41 - 7.2	64.45 - 68.47	13.46 - 19.14	4.62 - 6.82	10
Tropheus duboisi	11 - 16	30 - 33	22 - 23	4 - 6	1	15	30.08 - 34.05	7.29 - 8.6	64.06 - 67.79	15.51 - 21.12	6.27 - 9.66	10
Tropheus kasabae	14 - 17	29 - 31	22 - 25	4 - 6	1 - 2	15 - 16	20.16 - 37.68	4.75 - 10.05	63.78 - 66.53	16.63 - 27.84	5.73 - 8.06	11
Tropheus moorii	14 - 18	30 - 31	21 - 23	4 - 6	2	14 - 16	26.09 - 29.96	5.28 - 7.11	65.1 - 67.79	16.64 - 22.13	6.55 - 8.39	10
Tropheus polli	14 - 16	30 - 32	23 - 24	5 - 7	2 - 3	15 - 16	28.08 - 31.76	5.89 - 7.61	66.6 - 70.28	14.39 - 20.29	6.8 - 9.09	10
Tropheus sp. "black"	15 - 17	29 - 30	21 - 25	4 - 6	1 - 2	16 - 17	25.39 - 28.58	5.56 - 7.16	62.82 - 67.01	15.47 - 20.16	4.16 - 7.67	10
Tropheus sp. "brichardi kipili"	14 - 17	29 - 30	22 - 23	4 - 7	1 - 2	14 - 16	27.9 - 31.84	5.74 - 6.92	64.53 - 68.85	10.76 - 16.01	6.21 - 9.54	10
Tropheus sp. "kirschfleck"	14 - 17	29 - 30	23 - 25	5 - 6	1 - 2	16 - 17	26.16 - 29.88	5.32 - 7.48	65.31 - 68.85	14.82 - 20.01	6.64 - 8.87	10
<i>Tropheus</i> sp. "lukuga"	14 - 16	29 - 30	22 - 24	4 - 6	2	16	25.29 - 31.44	6.78 - 8.09	64.82 - 67.69	15.36 - 18.39	6.89 - 9.41	10
Tropheus sp. "lunatus"	14 - 16	20 - 30	22 - 24	5 - 7	2 - 3	16 - 17	27.75 - 30.64	5.54 - 8.25	65.36 - 68.49	16.35 - 18.43	6.59 - 8.84	10
Tropheus sp. "mpimbwe"	14 - 16	30 - 31	22 - 24	5 - 7	2	15	27.19 - 33.1	4.55 - 7.43	64.5 - 67.76	15.95 - 19.55	8.11 - 10.76	10
Tropheus sp. "murago"	14 - 16	29 - 32	23 - 24	5 - 6	2	14 - 16	27.54 - 31.79	5.64 - 10.1	64.91 - 69.57	14.93 - 19.19	7.69 - 10.69	10
Tropheus sp. "red"	14 - 17	29 - 31	22 - 23	3 - 5	2	15 - 16	27.09 - 30	5.91 - 9.52	65.79 - 70.38	12.34 - 18.54	7.45 - 8.67	10
Ctenochromis pectoralis	10 - 13	24 - 29	18 - 21	3 - 7	1 - 2	13 - 14	26.05 - 30.96	10.33 - 13.07	50.07 - 53.44	24.38 - 35.38	4.43 - 6.72	Г
Haplochromis cf. bakongo	11 - 14	24 - 29	19 - 21	3 - 5	1 - 2	14	30.24 - 36.18	10.43 - 14.83	50.67 - 55.09	25.52 - 38.15	4.2 - 5.39	4
Haplochromis demeusii	10 - 13	24 - 25	16 - 19	3 - 5	1 - 2	14 - 16	29.92 - 37.77	11.03 - 14.34	51.79 - 59.63	25.04 - 34.57	3.85 - 7.31	11
Haplochromis cf. demeusii	12	24 - 26	18 - 19	4	2	14 - 15	33.95 - 35.82	12.61 - 12.85	56.38 - 56.95	30.21 - 35.26	6.71 - 7.14	2
Haplochromis fasciatus	11 - 14	24 - 28	16 - 21	4 - 5	1 - 2	13 - 14	29.31 - 37.88	8.02 - 13.62	53.14 - 59.7	25.5 - 33.04	3.51 - 9.46	$\infty$
Haplochromis luluae	11 - 16	25 - 26	18 - 20	4 - 5	1 - 2	14 - 16	27.73 - 34.52	10.53 - 12.29	51.48 - 54.78	18.11 - 29.62	2.95 - 6.29	6
Haplochromis oligacanthus	11 - 14	24 - 26	18 - 20	4 - 5	1 - 2	14 - 15	28.4 - 36.92	13.49 - 14.89	52.29 - 54.56	25.44 - 32.05	3.85 - 5.71	$\sim$
Haplochromis polli	12 - 16	26 - 27	19 - 20	4 - 5	2	15 - 17	30.93 - 37.79	10.74 - 11.75	54.18 - 60.18	28.6 - 40.38	4.45 - 7.76	9
Haplochromis cf. polli	11 - 16	25 - 28	19 - 22	3 - 5	1 - 2	12 - 17	31.27 - 38.36	10.42 - 11.76	55.79 - 60.53	26.23 - 35.54	4.68 - 8.03	$\infty$
Trematochromis benthicola	16 - 17	30 - 33	22 - 27	7 - 8	2 - 3	14 - 15	44.49 - 50.32	15.27 - 18.18	54.01 - 57.8	33.94 - 48.7	10.28 - 12.97	13

#### Specimens and methods

**Comparative material.** To provide a thorough diagnosis for the new genus *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.**, we compared it to all other members of the tribe Tropheini as well as to its former congener and all other members of the tribe Limnochromini. In total we examined 587 specimens belonging to 63 taxa that include all currently recognised species as well as undescribed taxa representing potential species from these two tribes (Ronco *et al.* 2020).

To evaluate possible diagnostic characters to distinguish *Shuja* from *Ctenochromis*, we further inspected specimens from all species that are associated with *Ctenochromis* (i.e., *Ctenochromis pectoralis* Pfeffer 1893), or were formerly associated with *Ctenochromis* before the recent revision by Genner *et al.* (2022): *Haplochromis bakongo* (Thys van den Audenaerde 1964), *Haplochromis demeusii* (Boulenger 1899), *Haplochromis fasciatus* (Perugia 1892), *Haplochromis luluae* (Fowler 1930), *Haplochromis oligacanthus* Regan 1922, *Haplochromis polli* Thys van den Audenaerde 1964. In addition to these riverine Haplochromines, we also inspected specimens of the species *Trematochromis benthicola* (Matthes 1962), formally '*Ctenochromis' benthicola*, which is endemic to Lake Tanganyika and is a member of the Cyphotilapiini (Muschick *et al.* 2012). We further included all taxa of the Cyphotilapiini to cover the morphological diversity of this tribe.

All examined specimens from Lake Tanganyika (i.e., all Tropheini, Limnochromini and Cyphotilapiini) are deposited in the Ichthyological collection of the Zoological Institute of the University of Basel, Switzerland (UNIBAS-IC). The specimens belonging to the Haplochromini are either deposited in the Royal Museum for Central Africa (RMCA) Tervuren, Belgium or in the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology (SNSB ZSM), Munich, Germany. It should be mentioned that we were unable to include the holotype of *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. *pfefferi*. Instead, we examined 10 specimens of this species available in the Ichthyological collection in Basel. All specimens investigated in this study are listed in the comparative material table in the supplement.

**Morphological data collection and principal component analysis.** In total, 33 meristic traits were scored (see Table 2; following Schedel & Schliewen 2017 and Schedel *et al.* 2020), of which 16 were obtained from digital X-ray images. X-rays of the specimens from Munich were newly acquired using a Faxitron Ultrafocus LLC X-ray unit, while X-rays from the specimens from Basel were taken from Ronco *et al.* (2021). The other 17 meristic traits were investigated on the specimens themselves using Leica microscopes.

In addition, we collected 23 morphometric measurements (Figure 2, Table 2) based on the X-rays by placing 26 landmarks on defined anatomical sites (modified from Ronco *et al.* 2021) in Fiji (v.2.3.0/1.53t, Schindelin *et al.* 2012). Two additional landmarks were used as a scale reference. With a total of 28 landmarks, we could obtain landmark coordinates scaled in cm and, thus, to measure the distance between individual landmarks as well as the premaxilla angle. The morphometric traits were size corrected by either the standard length or the head length (see Table 2). We performed a Procrustes alignment to screen for landmark outliers. All calculations were performed in R v.4.1.3 (R Development Core Team 2018) using the package geomorph (v.4.0.3, Adams & Otárola-Castillo 2013). We then performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) across all 45 meristic and morphometric traits and 518 individuals belonging to the Tropheini, Limnochromini and Haplochromini 26 specimens had to be excluded for the PCA because we were not able to collect data on all traits All plots were generated in R (v.4.1.1).

#### Results

The PCA, which included 518 individuals, 26 meristic and 19 morphometric characters showed a clear morphological clustering of the tribes and the major phylogenetic lineages within the Tropheini (Figure 3). PC1 explained 30%, PC2 16.9% and PC3 12.9% of the total variance. Although the Limnochromini comprise fewer taxa, their morphospace (PC1 and PC2) has a similar extent compared to the Tropheini. The morphospace occupied by the (riverine) Haplochromini representatives is relatively large compared to the other two tribes, especially considering that our dataset comprises only seven species of Haplochromini (excluding the Tropheini). These species are, however, phylogenetically distant from each other (see Figure 1).

PC1 clearly separated the genus *Tropheus*, the remaining Tropheini and the Limnochromini. The highest loading score for PC1 was dorsal fin length (DFL, Figure 3). Further traits which were associated with the dorsal fin are the number of dorsal spines (DFS) and the count value of the vertebra associated with the last dorsal spine (VDPS). The two latter featured the fourth- and fifth-highest loading scores for PC1. PC2 separated the (riverine) Haplochromini

from the other two tribes. The highest loading scores for PC2 were the number of total vertebrae (TV), the number of scales on the horizontal line (SHL), and the number of abdominal vertebrae (AV).

Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi clustered with other Tropheini but is closer to Limnochromini than most other Tropheini representatives. Specimens of Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi overlap in morphospace with its sister species, S. horei, but are clearly separated from its former congener G. permaxillaris along PC1. Our results based on the combination of morphometric measurements and meristic counts indicate a separation of the Tropheini from the Limnochromini including Jabarichromis gen. nov. from its former congener G. permaxillaris. Consequently, we used the quantified traits as basis for the diagnosis of a new genus, Jabarichromis gen. nov. (see Figure 4A, 4B, and Table 1).

Furthermore, *S. horei* slightly overlaps in morphospace with the (riverine) Haplochromini (Figure 3) but can clearly be separated by a larger number of gill rakers (TGR) in *S. horei* (Figure 5A). In addition, *S. horei* can be distinguished from its former congener within the Cyphotilapiini (*T. benthicola* formerly '*C.*' *benthicola*) by a higher premaxilla (PMH) in *T. benthicola* (Figure 5B).



**FIGURE 3.** PCA plot of PC1 and PC2 based on 518 individuals, 26 meristic and 19 morphometric characters. The 6 highest loading scores for PC1 and the 8 highest loading scores for PC2 are indicated as vectors (top and right axes of the plot).



**FIGURE 4.** Range plot for (**A**) the premaxilla height (PMH) and (**B**) the total number of vertebra (TV). Data points are mean counts of the group and error bars show the range of the observed values (minimum and maximum). Horizontal lines indicate the range of observed values of *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. *pfefferi*, highlighting that (**A**) the observed range of PMH is clearly outside the distributions of the other Tropheini (except *Lobochilotes labiatus*) and *Gnathochromis permaxillaris* and (**B**) the observed range is clearly outside the distributions of *Gnathochromis permaxillaris* and *Lobochilotes labiatus*. See Figure 1 for group specification along the x-axis.

TABLE 2. Abbreviations for all measurements and counts used in this study. Traits that were quantified from X-ray
images are marked with an (X) all other traits were obtained directly from the specimen. For each morphometric trait it is
further indicated if they were size corrected to standard length (% SL) or absolute head length (% HL). Loading scores of
PC1, PC2 and PC3 based on 518 individuals. Highest scores are indicated in bold font.

Abbreviation	Measurement/ count	PC1	PC2	PC3
AV	number of abdominal vertebrae (X)	-0.0441	-0.2435	-0.2363
CV	number of caudal vertebrae (X)	0.1468	-0.2146	0.0487
TV	total number of vertebrae (X)	0.0658	-0.2941	-0.1205
AFS	number of anal-fin spines (X)	-0.1959	-0.0866	0.1919
AFR	number of anal-fin rays (X)	0.1651	-0.0839	-0.1596
DFS	number of dorsal-fin spines (X)	-0.2295	-0.1537	-0.0044
DFR	number of dorsal-fin rays (X)	0.2106	0.0409	-0.1358
nPt12	number of pterygiophores between 1. and 2. neural spine (X)	0.0197	0.0671	-0.0056
nPt23	number of pterygiophores between 2. and 3. neural spine (X)	-0.0217	-0.0770	-0.0004

.....Continued on the next page

# TABLE 2. (Continued)

Abbreviation	Measurement/ count	PC1	PC2	PC3
VDPS	vertebra number associated with last dorsal-fin spine pterygiophore (X)	-0.2279	-0.1573	-0.0059
VAPS	vertebra number associated with last anal-fin spine pterygiophore (X)	-0.1856	-0.2295	-0.0185
GRL	number of gill rakers on the ventral gill arch	0.0872	-0.2051	-0.0233
GRM	number of gill rakers in the center of the gill arch	-0.0042	0.0094	-0.0477
GRU	number of upper gill rakers on the dorsal arch	0.2107	-0.0785	0.0956
TGR	total number of gill rakers	0.1562	-0.1755	0.0248
SC	number of cheek scales	-0.0882	-0.0463	-0.1396
SO	number of operculum scales	-0.0452	0.0502	-0.0401
SHL	number of scales on horizontal line	0.1551	-0.2442	-0.0101
SULL	number of scales on upper lateral line	0.1361	-0.2396	0.0051
SLLL	number of scales on lower lateral line	0.1833	-0.1921	-0.0076
CS	number of scales around caudal peduncle	0.1727	-0.2144	-0.0379
ScRLL	number of scale rows between lateral lines	0.1138	-0.0991	0.0209
SLDF	number of scales between lateral line and dorsal-fin origin	0.0167	-0.1576	-0.2504
SLDSULL	number of scales between lateral line and last dorsal-fin spine	0.1400	-0.1957	-0.1808
ISTUJ	number of inner series of teeth upper jaw	-0.1307	-0.0886	-0.2968
ISTLJ	number of inner series of teeth lower jaw	-0.1002	-0.0844	-0.3260
PercR	number of pectoral rays	-0.0629	-0.1761	-0.0277
PMH	premaxilla height (% HL; X)	0.1277	0.0040	0.1853
LJL	lower jaw length (% SL; X)	0.2259	0.0722	0.1176
PDD	predorsal distance (% SL; X)	-0.0984	0.1321	0.0148
HL	head length (% SL; X)	0.0513	0.2129	-0.0977
PPecD	prepectoral distance (% SL; X)	0.1683	0.1577	-0.1897
PAD	preanal distance (% SL; X)	-0.0001	0.1692	-0.3132
PPelD	prepelvic distance (% SL; X)	0.0354	0.1835	-0.3069
DFL	dorsal-fin length (% SL; X)	-0.2399	-0.1175	0.0361
AVL	abdominal spine length (% SL; X)	-0.2331	-0.1049	-0.0260
CVL	caudal spine length (% SL; X)	0.0829	-0.1376	0.2725
AFL	anal-fin length (% SL; X)	-0.1812	-0.1430	0.1889
CPL	caudal peduncle length (% SL; X)	0.2305	-0.0378	0.0655
ED	eye diameter (% HL; X)	0.0902	0.0320	0.2161
SnL	snout length (% HL; X)	-0.1870	-0.1366	-0.0102
PML	premaxilla length (% HL; X)	0.2049	-0.0243	-0.1853
BD	body depth (% SL; X)	-0.2147	-0.0096	-0.1390
CPD	caudal peduncle depth (% SL; X)	-0.0294	0.1910	-0.0851
PMA	premaxilla angle (X)	-0.1420	0.0102	0.0987
	Eigenvalue	3.6773	2.7545	2.4101
	% variance	30.05	16.86	12.91



**FIGURE 5.** Range plot for (**A**) the total number of gill rakers (TGR) and (**B**) the premaxilla height (PMH). Data points are mean counts of the group and error bars show the range of the observed values (minimum and maximum). Horizontal lines indicate the range of observed values of *Shuja horei*, highlighting that (**A**) the observed range of TGR is clearly outside the distributions of the other species (formerly) associated with *Ctenochromis* (except *Trematochromis benthicola*), and (**B**) the observed range of PMH is clearly outside the distributions of *Trematochromis benthicola* and *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** *pfefferi*. See Figure 1 for group specification along the x-axis.

# Taxonomy

Phylum Chordata Haeckel, 1874 Class Actinopterygii sensu Goodrich, 1930 Order Cichliformes Betancur-R *et al.* 2013 Family Cichlidae Bonaparte, 1840 Subfamily Pseudocrenilabrinae Fowler, 1934 Tribe Tropheini Poll, 1986 Genus *Jabarichromis* gen. nov. Type Species. *Paratilapia pfefferi* (Boulenger 1898) **Diagnosis.** Jabarichromis gen. nov. can be identified as a member of the Tropheini, which are nested within the Haplochromini (Ronco *et al.* 2021; Salzburger *et al.* 2005), by the definition from Takahashi (2003): "extensively granulated cycloid scales at midbody (granulations comprising irregularly arranged, variously shaped protrusions over almost entire exposed surface)". A revision or specification of this definition is needed because all our specimens show additional scales with small ctenii along the midbody, increasing in numbers towards the ventral and posterior side. This state for Jabarichromis gen. nov. *pfefferi* was also reported by Genner *et al.* (2022). Further, ctenoid scales along the midbody for species belonging to the Tropheini were reported by Viertler *et al.* (2021).

*Jabarichromis* gen. nov. can be distinguished from the other genera within the Tropheini by their longer premaxilla height (except *Lobochilotes* Boulenger 1915) as well as their longer lower jaw length (except *Lobochilotes* and *Shuja*). It is distinguishable from *Lobochilotes* by the total number of vertebrae (29 in *Lobochilotes* and 30 in *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.), the total number of gill rakers (22–27 in *Lobochilotes* and 15–18 in *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.), the number of scales between the upper lateral line and the dorsal fin origin (7–9 in *Lobochilotes* and 5–6 in *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.), and the number of pectoral rays (16–17 in *Lobochilotes* and 13–15 in *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.). Further, it is distinguishable from *Shuja* by the number of scales between the upper lateral line and the last dorsal fin spine (2 in *Shuja* and 3 in *Jabarichromis* gen. nov.).

Finally, Jabarichromis gen. nov. is distinguishable from Gnathochromis and its only remaining member G. permaxillaris by the premaxilla height (58%–63% in head length in G. permaxillaris and 46%–51% of head length in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), dorsal fin length (DFL) (51%–55% in standard length in G. permaxillaris and 56%–61% of standard length in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), premaxilla length (41%–48% in head length in G. permaxillaris and 30%–36% of head length in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), the number of total vertebrae (31–31 in G. permaxillaris and 29 in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), the number of total gill rakers (24–27 in G. permaxillaris and 15–18 in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), the number of scales on horizontal line (33–34 in G. permaxillaris and 29–31 in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi), and number of scales on upper lateral line (25–30 in G. permaxillaris and 20–23 in Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi).

**Description.** *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** currently comprises one species, *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** *pfefferi*, which is endemic to Lake Tanganyika. The premaxilla height reaches 46%–51%, the lower jaw length 13%–15% and the premaxilla length ranges from 30%–36% of head length; the dorsal fin length ranges from 56%–62% of the standard length. The number of vertebrae is 29 (14–15 abdominal, 14–15 caudal); the total number of gill rakers ranges from 15–18; the number of scales between the upper lateral line and the dorsal fin origin ranges from 5–6; the number of scales between the upper lateral line and the dorsal fin origin ranges from 5–6; the number of scales on the upper lateral line reaches from 20–23. The number of pectoral rays is 13–15. Like all members of the Tropheini *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** are mouthbrooders (Konings 2019; Takahashi 2003). The total length can reach up to 14 cm (Konings 2019).

**Etymology.** The genus name is derived from the Swahili word "Jabari" which is translated into English as "brave one" or "emperor", "ruler". It is referring to *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** *pfefferi*'s hunting behaviour as well as their large hunting territories which can reach up to 500m<sup>2</sup> (Konings 2019). "Chromis" is an Ancient Greek word for fish and refers to a widely used suffix for cichlid genera. The gender of *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** is masculine.

Included species. Jabarichromis pfefferi (Boulenger 1898) Paratilapia pfefferi Boulenger, 1898

Limnochromis pfefferi (Boulenger), Poll, 1970 Haplochromis pfefferi (Boulenger), Poll, 1974 Gnathochromis pfefferi (Boulenger), Poll, 1981

# Additional diagnostic characters for Shuja horei

Genner *et al.* (2022) erected the genus *Shuja* for *S. horei* to separate it from *Ctenochromis* and the other Tropheini. They pointed out the uniqueness of a prognathous lower jaw in *S. horei* compared to the other Tropheini with either a retrognathous or isognathous lower jaw. We can extend this diagnosis as we found that *S. horei* differs from its prior congeners in *Ctenochromis* also in their number of gill rakers (TGR) (Figure 5A), which is higher in *S. horei*. Further, it differs in their relative premaxilla height (PMH) from its sister species *Jabarichromis* **gen. nov.** *pfefferi* as well as from *Trematochromis benthicola*, which also used to be associated with *Ctenochromis* (Figure 5B).

### Discussion

In this study, we erected a new genus Jabarichromis gen. nov. for 'Gnathochromis' pfefferi. This ensures that the taxonomic status represents the evolutionary history of Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi, which was consistently resolved as member of the Tropheini instead of the Limnochromini in phylogenetic studies (Duftner et al. 2005; Irisarri et al. 2018; Kocher et al. 1995; Lippitsch 1998; Muschick et al. 2012; Nishida 1997; Ronco et al. 2021; Salzburger et al. 2002; Schedel et al. 2019; Sturmbauer et al. 2003; Takahashi 2003). Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi hereby constitutes not only the type species for Jabarichromis gen. nov. but also the only species contained within the genus for the moment. The same consequently applies to G. permaxillaris, the former only congener of Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi, rendering the genus Gnathochromis monotypic. Further, we provide an additional character to delineate the recently re-allocated Shuja horei from its former congeners belonging to Ctenochromis. Although these two cases of (former) taxonomic misplacement have been known at least since 2003 (Takahashi), it took about two decades for both species to undergo generic revision.

Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi has experienced several re-allocations to non-closely related genera since its first description. This is a symbolic example for various difficulties, which cichlid taxonomy faces to this day (reviewed in Ronco et al. 2020). Many of the taxonomic challenges regarding Lake Tanganyika cichlids can be attributed to the fact that the species flock is the product of an adaptive radiation (Salzburger 2018). The sheer number of species and their intrinsically close relatedness complicates the task to identify unambiguous traits that can be used as a reliable base for species and genera identification, especially within rapidly diversifying sub-lineages. Further, the adaptive radiation of cichlids in Lake Tanganyika was characterized by the explosive accumulation of morphological disparity in several trait complexes, which also resulted in high levels of morphological convergence among the lineages (Muschick et al. 2012; Ronco et al. 2021; Tada et al. 2017). This likely explains why Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi was previously (morphologically) assigned to genera belonging to different tribes, which was however later undisputedly resolved with molecular data (Duftner et al. 2005; Irisarri et al. 2018; Kocher et al. 1995; Lippitsch 1998; Muschick et al. 2012; Nishida 1997; Ronco et al. 2021; Salzburger et al. 2002; Schedel et al. 2019; Sturmbauer et al. 2003). However, if we consider the latest genus to which Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi was assigned, namely *Gnathochromis*, then apart from the similarity in mouth morphology and the protrudable upper jaw, it seems difficult to argue for similarities and thus convergent evolution in other traits. Even their mouth morphologies, or its function to be precise, differ from each other, with G. permaxillaris having a more pronounced maxilla; G. permaxillaris searches for food on the ground and protrudes its elongated jaws downwards, whereas Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi pecks its prey from the water column (Konings 2019). Hence, based on isolated morphological traits, it is perhaps understandable that previous studies placed Jabarichromis gen. nov. pfefferi in a genus alongside distantly related species. However, this case once more highlights the need to base the taxonomy of cichlids on carefully selected character sets, and to support their classification with molecular data whenever possible.

Besides the generic misplacement of *Jabarichromis* gen. nov *pfefferi* that grouped two species from different tribes with more than 7 million years of divergence time into a single genus, several taxonomic issues still remain within Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribes (Ronco *et al.* 2020). For example, within the Tropheini alone several taxonomic discrepancies on genus and species level are known. One of them is the genus *Petrochromis*, which was found to be polyphyletic (Koblmüller *et al.* 2010) consisting of three clades (Ronco *et al.* 2021; Singh *et al.* 2022) and containing several undescribed taxa (Konings 2019; Ronco *et al.* 2020; Van Steenberge & Snoeks 2014). The genus-type species of *Petrochromis*, *P. polyodon* Boulenger 1898, occurs lake-wide and displays substantial variation in coloration of males, which raises the question of their true species status (Konings 2019).

Another tribe that shows inconsistences between taxonomic assignments and phylogenetic placement are the Lamprologini, which is the most species-rich tribe in the lake. Several genera have been shown to be para- and polyphyletic (Colombo *et al.* 2016; Day *et al.* 2007; Ronco *et al.* 2021; Schelly *et al.* 2006; Sturmbauer *et al.* 2010). Overall, with the increasing availability of molecular phylogenies, many cases of taxonomic misplacements among Lake Tanganyika cichlids have been revealed. However, much of this was a by-product of cichlids being extensively studied as a model system for evolutionary biology. In contrast, their taxonomy receives considerably less attention. Yet, the proper classification on higher taxonomic levels and descriptions of species would not only be in the interest of taxonomy but also every other field of cichlid research in order to reduce errors and ease scientific discussions.

#### **Author Contributions**

All authors contributed to study design. A.H. and F.D.B.S. acquired additional x-rays for this study. A.H. obtained the meristic data. A.H. and F.R. obtained the morphometrical data. Data analysis was performed by A.H. and F.R. The first draft of the manuscript was written by A.H. and the final version of the manuscript was written by all the authors.

# Acknowledgments

We thank Ulrich Schliewen and Dirk Neumann from the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology (Munich, Germany) and Emmanuel Vreven from the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Tervuren, Belgium) for providing access to some of the comparative material used in this study. We further thank Antoine Fages and Virginie Ricci for valuable assistance in the analysis, Charlotte Huyghe and James Lusana for their help in choosing the genus name, Daniel Berner for his feedback on the manuscript, and Editor Ray Schmidt (Editor) and Martin Genner for valuable comments during the review process. Zuzana Musilová kindly provided a fish picture. This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) to W.S. (208002). F.R. was financed through an SNSF fellowship (206869); F.D.B.S. was financed through the DAAD P.R.I.M.E fellowship programme of the German Academic Exchange Service.

# References

Adams, D.C. & Otárola-Castillo, E. (2013) Geomorph: An R package for the collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 393–399.

https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12035

Berner, D. & Salzburger, W. (2015) The genomics of organismal diversification illuminated by adaptive radiations. *Trends in Genetics*, 31, 491–499.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2015.07.002

Betancur-R, R., Broughton, R.E., Wiley, E.O., Carpenter, K., López, J.A., Li, C., Holcroft, N.I., Arcila, D., Sanciangco, M., Cureton, J.C., Zhang, F., Buser, T., Campbell, M.A., Ballesteros, J.A., Roa-Varon, A., Willis, S., Borden, W.C., Rowley, T., Reneau, P.C., Hough, D.J., Lu, G., Grande, T., Arratia, G. & Ortí, G. (2013) The tree of life and a new classification of bony fishes. *PLoS Currents*.

https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.tol.53ba26640df0ccaee75bb165c8c26288

- Bonaparte, C.L. (1840) Systema Vertebratorum. Typis, R. & Taylor, J.E., London, 58 pp.
- Boulenger, G.A. (1898) Report on the collection of fishes made by Mr. J. E. S. Moore in Lake Tanganyika during his expedition, 1895-96. *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London*, 15, 1–30.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1898.tb00017.x
- Boulenger, G.A. (1899) Matériaux pour la faune du Congo. Poissons nouveaux du Congo. Cinquième Partie. Cyprins, Silures, Cyprinodontes, Acanthoptérygiens. Annales du Musee du Congo (Ser. Zoology), 97–128. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.5766
- Boulenger, G.A. (1915) Catalogue of the fresh-water fishes of Africa in the British Museum (Natural History). Vol. 3. Printed by order of the Trustees, London, 506 pp.
- Colombo, M., Indermaur, A., Meyer, B.S. & Salzburger, W. (2016) Habitat use and its implications to functional morphology: niche partitioning and the evolution of locomotory morphology in Lake Tanganyikan cichlids (Perciformes: Cichlidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 118, 536–550. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12754
- David, L. (1936) Contribution à l'étude de la faune ichthyologique du lac Tanganyka. *Revue de Zoologie et de Botanique Africaines*, 28, 149–160.
- Day, J.J., Santini, S. & Garcia-Moreno, J. (2007) Phylogenetic relationships of the Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribe Lamprologini: The story from mitochondrial DNA. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 45, 629–642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.025
- Duftner, N., Koblmüller, S. & Sturmbauer, C. (2005) Evolutionary relationships of the Limnochromini, a tribe of benthic deepwater cichlid fish endemic to Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 60, 277–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-0017-8
- Fowler, H.W. (1930) The Fresh-Water Fishes Obtained by the Gray African Expedition: 1929. With Notes on Other Species in the Academy Collection. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 82, 27–83.
- Fowler, H.W. (1934) Fishes Obtained by Mr. H. W. Bell-Marley Chiefly in Natal and Zululand in 1929 to 1932. Proceedings of

the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 86, 405–514.

Fryer, G. & Iles, T.D. (1972) The Cichlid Fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa. T.F.H. Publications, Neptune City, 641 pp.

Genner, M.J., Hsu, L.L., Collins, R.A., Smith, A.M., Saxon, A.D., Shechonge, A.H., Ngatunga, B.P. & Turner, G.F. (2022) Revision of the African cichlid fish genus *Ctenochromis* (Teleostei, Cichliformes), including a description of the new genus *Shuja* from Lake Tanganyika and the new species *Ctenochromis scatebra* from northern Tanzania. *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 819, 23–54.

https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2022.819.1775

- Goodrich, E.S. (Edwin S.) (1930) *Studies on the structure & development of vertebrates*. Macmillan, London, 837 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.82144
- Greenwood, P.H. (1978) A review of the pharyngeal apophysis and its significance in the classification of African cichlid fishes. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology, 33, 297–323. https://doi.org/10.5962/p.314130

Haeckel, E. (1874) Anthropogenie oder Entwickelungsgeschichte des Menschen. Engelmann, Leipzig.

Hilgendorf, F.M. (1888) Fische aus dem Victoria-Nyanza (Ukerewe-See), gesammelt von dem verstorbenen Dr. G. A. Fischer. *In: Sitzungsberichte der Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin. Vol. 1880.* Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde, Berlin, pp. 75–79.

https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.14943

- Irisarri, I., Singh, P., Koblmüller, S., Torres-Dowdall, J., Henning, F., Franchini, P., Fischer, C., Lemmon, A.R., Lemmon, E.M., Thallinger, G.G., Sturmbauer, C. & Meyer, A. (2018) Phylogenomics uncovers early hybridization and adaptive loci shaping the radiation of Lake Tanganyika cichlid fishes. *Nature Communications*, 9, (3159). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05479-9
- Koblmüller, S., Egger, B., Sturmbauer, C. & Sefc, K.M. (2010) Rapid radiation, ancient incomplete lineage sorting and ancient hybridization in the endemic Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribe Tropheini. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 55, 318–334.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.09.032

- Koblmüller, S., Nevado, B., Makasa, L., Van Steenberge, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., Verheyen, E., Sturmbauer, C. & Sefc, K.M. (2017) Phylogeny and phylogeography of Altolamprologus: ancient introgression and recent divergence in a rock-dwelling Lake Tanganyika cichlid genus. *Hydrobiologia*, 791, 35–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2896-2
- Kocher, T.D., Conroy, J.A., McKaye, K.R., Stauffer, J.R. & Lockwood, S.F. (1995) Evolution of NADH Dehydrogenase Subunit 2 in East African Cichlid Fish. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 4, 420–432. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1995.1039
- Konings, A. (2019) Tanganyika Cichlids in their Natural Habitat. 4th ed. Cichlid Press, El Paso, 432 pp.
- Lippitsch, E. (1998) Phylogenetic study of cichlid fishes in Lake Tanganyika: a lepidological approach. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 53, 752–766.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1998.tb01830.x

- Matthes, H. (1962) Poissons nouveaux ou intéressants du lac Tanganika et du Ruanda. Annales, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tevuren, Belgique. Série in 80, Sciences Zoologique 111, 27–88.
- Muschick, M., Indermaur, A. & Salzburger, W. (2012) Convergent Evolution within an Adaptive Radiation of Cichlid Fishes. *Current Biology*, 22, 2362–2368.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.10.048

- Nishida, M. (1997) Phylogenetic Relationships and Evolution of Tanganyikan Cichlids: A Molecular Perspective. *In*: Kawanabe, H., Hori, M. & Nagoshi, M. (Eds.), *Fish Communities in Lake Tanganyika*. University Press, Kyoto, pp. 1–24.
- Perugia, A. (1892) Intorno ad alcuni pesci raccolti al Congo dal Capitano Giacomo Bove. Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova (Serie 2), 10, 967–977.
- Poll, M. (1974) Contribution à la faune ichthyologique du lac Tanganika, d'après les récoltes de P. Brichard. *Revue de Zoologie Africaine*, 88, 99–110.
- Poll, M. (1981) Contribution à la faune ichthyologique du lac Tanganika. Révision du genre Limnochromis Regan, 1920. Description de trois genres nouveaux et d'une espèce nouvelle: Cyprichromis brieni. Annales de la Société royale zoologique de Belgique, 111, 163–179.

Poll, M. (1986) Classification des Cichlidae du lac Tanganika Tribus, genres et espèces.

- R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/ (accessed 14 October 2021)
- Regan, C.T. (1920) The classification of the fishes of the family Cichlidae.--I. The Tanganyika genera. *The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and geology,* 5, 33–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222932008632340
- Regan, C.T. (1922) The classification of the fishes of the family Cichlidae.--II. On African and Syrian genera not restricted to the great lakes. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 10, 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222932208632776
- Ronco, F., Büscher, H.H., Indermaur, A. & Salzburger, W. (2020) The taxonomic diversity of the cichlid fish fauna of ancient Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 46, 1067–1078.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2019.05.009

Ronco, F., Matschiner, M., Böhne, A., Boila, A., Büscher, H.H., El Taher, A., Indermaur, A., Malinsky, M., Ricci, V., Kahmen, A., Jentoft, S. & Salzburger, W. (2021) Drivers and dynamics of a massive adaptive radiation in cichlid fishes. *Nature*, 589, 76–81.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2930-4

Salzburger, W. (2018) Understanding explosive diversification through cichlid fish genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 19, 705–717.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0043-9

Salzburger, W., Bocxlaer, B. Van & Cohen, A.S. (2014) Ecology and evolution of the African great lakes and their faunas. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45, 519–545.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091804

- Salzburger, W., Mack, T., Verheyen, E. & Meyer, A. (2005) Out of Tanganyika: Genesis, explosive speciation, key-innovations and phylogeography of the haplochromine cichlid fishes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 5 (17). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-5-17
- Salzburger, W., Meyer, A., Baric, S., Verheyen, E. & Sturmbauer, C. (2002) Phylogeny of the Lake Tanganyika Cichlid Species Flock and Its Relationship to the Central and East African Haplochromine Cichlid Fish Faunas. *Systematic Biology*, 51, 113–135.

https://doi.org/10.1080/106351502753475907

- Santos, M.E., Lopes, J.F. & Kratochwil, C.F. (2023) East African cichlid fishes. *Evolutionary Developmental biology*, 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13227-022-00205-5
- Schedel, F.D.B. (2020) Phylogeny, divergence time estimates and systematics of African cichlids (Cichlidae: Pseudocrenilabrinae), with a focus on the rheophilic cichlids of East and Central Africa. PhD thesis, Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, Munich.
- Schedel, F.D.B., Kupriyanov, V.M.S., Katongo, C. & Schliewen, U.K. (2020) Palaeoplex gen. nov. and Lufubuchromis gen. non, two new monotypic cichlid genera (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from northern Zambia. *Zootaxa*, 4718 (2), 191–229. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4718.2.3
- Schedel, F.D.B., Musilova, Z. & Schliewen, U.K. (2019) East African cichlid lineages (Teleostei: Cichlidae) might be older than their ancient host lakes: New divergence estimates for the east African cichlid radiation. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 19 (94). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-019-1417-0
- Schedel, F.D.B. & Schliewen, U.K. (2017) Hemibates koningsi spec. nov: a new deep-water cichlid (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from Lake Tanganyika. Zootaxa, 4312 (1), 92.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4312.1.4

- Schelly, R., Salzburger, W., Koblmüller, S., Duftner, N. & Sturmbauer, C. (2006) Phylogenetic relationships of the lamprologine cichlid genus Lepidiolamprologus (Teleostei: Perciformes) based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, suggesting introgressive hybridization. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 38, 426–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.04.023
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P. & Cardona, A. (2012) Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Singh, P., Irisarri, I., Torres-Dowdall, J., Thallinger, G.G., Svardal, H., Lemmon, E.M., Lemmon, A.R., Koblmüller, S., Meyer, A. & Sturmbauer, C. (2022) Phylogenomics of trophically diverse cichlids disentangles processes driving adaptive radiation and repeated trophic transitions. *Ecology and Evolution*, 12 (E9077). https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9077
- Van Steenberge, M., Pariselle, A., Huyse, T., Volckaert, F.A.M., Snoeks, J. & Vanhove, M.P.M. (2015) Morphology, molecules, and monogenean parasites: An example of an integrative approach to cichlid biodiversity. *PLoS ONE*, 10. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124474
- Van Steenberge, M. (2014) Species and speciation in *Tropheus*, *Simochromis* and *Pseudosimochromis*: a multidisciplinary approach on a cichlid radiation from Lake Tanganyika. KU Leuven, Arenberg Doctoral School.
- Van Steenberge, M. (2014) Species and speciation in Tropheus, Simochromis and Pseudosimochromis a multidisciplinary approach to a cichlid radiation from Lake Tanganyika. PhD thesis, Faculteit wetenschappen, Geel Huis, Kasteelpark Arenberg 11, Leuven.
- Sturmbauer, C., Hainz, U., Baric, S., Verheyen, E. & Salzburger, W. (2003) Evolution of the tribe Tropheini from Lake Tanganyika: synchronized explosive speciation producing multiple evolutionary parallelism. *Hydrobiologia*, 500, 51–64. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024680201436
- Sturmbauer, C., Salzburger, W., Duftner, N., Schelly, R. & Koblmüller, S. (2010) Evolutionary history of the Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribe Lamprologini (Teleostei: Perciformes) derived from mitochondrial and nuclear DNA data. *Molecular Phylo*genetics and Evolution, 57, 266–284.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.06.018

Tada, S., Hori, M., Yamaoka, K. & Hata, H. (2017) Diversification of functional morphology in herbivorous cichlids (Perciformes: Cichlidae) of the tribe Tropheini in Lake Tanganyika. *Hydrobiologia*, 791, 83–101.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2761-3

Takahashi, T. (2003) Systematics of Tanganyikan cichlid fishes (Teleostei: Perciformes). *Ichthyological Research*, 50, 367–382.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10228-003-0181-7

- Thys van den Audenaerde, D.F.E. (1964) Les Haplochromis du Bas-Congo. *Revue de Zoologie et de Botanique Africines*, LXX, 155–173.
- Viertler, A., Salzburger, W. & Ronco, F. (2021) Comparative scale morphology in the adaptive radiation of cichlid fishes (Perciformes: Cichlidae) from Lake Tanganyika. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 134, 541–556. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blab099
- Winkelmann, K., Genner, M.J., Takahashi, T. & Rüber, L. (2014) Competition-driven speciation in cichlid fish. *Nature Communications*, 5 (3).

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4412